Treasury, AP & AR SaaS Valuations: Q2 2026

Q2 2026 finds the software that runs the corporate finance function, treasury, accounts payable, accounts receivable, spend management and B2B payments, in a state Windsor Drake characterises as a disciplined re-rating. The firm's working benchmark for the broad treasury, AP and AR software market has settled into a **6x EV/Revenue** core, and that stability, more than any single number, is the story of the quarter.

Beneath the benchmark sits a profound and widening split. Blended averages screen far higher, pulled upward by a thin tail of AI-native spend platforms: Ramp's June 2026 round implied roughly 30x annualised revenue. At the other end, mature and public AP automation has compressed into a 2.5x to 4.5x band as growth normalises. Unusually, this niche has **diverged** rather than converged, the mirror image of the broad fintech market: public AP and AR software de-rated while AI-native private spend re-rated.

The macro backdrop is neutral but constructive for the category. The Federal Reserve funds range holds at **3.50% to 3.75%** after a divided April 2026 decision, with sticky inflation tempering expectations for a near-term cut. Higher-for-longer rates keep corporate cash management front of mind and raise the value of treasury and liquidity tooling. Demand is structurally underwritten: global payments generate **$2.5 trillion** in revenue with commercial flows roughly half (McKinsey), cross-border B2B payments are forecast to grow about **6%** a year through 2030, and EU e-invoicing mandates under ViDA are converting AP and AR digitisation from a discretionary purchase into a compliance requirement.

This report sets out institutional-grade analysis for navigating that split market, one in which AI-native, payment-attached finance automation is valued like premium software while commoditising processing and sub-scale tooling face continued scrutiny.

What multiples are treasury, AP and AR companies trading at?

The Q2 2026 valuation picture turns on a single divide: AI-native, software-led automation on one side, and mature payment processing on the other. The broad market clusters near Windsor Drake's 6x benchmark, but the spread between the top and bottom of the table is the widest the firm has tracked. Investors are paying up for recurring-revenue quality, AI-driven efficiency, ownership of the payment flow, and a clean regulatory posture.

AI-native spend platforms and scaled treasury systems are valued on lighter operating models and predictable, mission-critical revenue. Mature corporate-payments processors and commoditised, public AP tooling, by contrast, trade on cash flow and have de-rated as growth has slowed.

Table 1. Treasury, AP & AR Valuation Multiples by Subsegment

Subsegment	EV/Revenue Range	YoY Trend	Primary Driver
AI-Native Spend Platforms	18x - 35x	Rising	Agentic AI, interchange and float economics
Treasury Management (TMS)	7x - 11x	Strengthening	Higher-for-longer rates, tokenised settlement
AR Automation / Order-to-Cash	6.5x - 10x	Strengthening	Cash-application AI, DSO reduction
E-Invoicing & Compliance	6x - 9x	Rising	ViDA and national e-invoicing mandates
AP Automation (SaaS)	6x - 9x	Stable	Supplier-network effects, payment monetisation
B2B Payments Software	5x - 8.5x	Stable	Cross-border growth, virtual-card attach
Corporate Payments (mature)	4x - 6x	Compressing	Volume scale, take-rate pressure
Public AP Automation	2.5x - 4.5x	Compressing	Growth normalisation, public-comp reset

Source: Windsor Drake analysis of company filings, S&P Global Market Intelligence and Bloomberg data.

Subsegment dynamics driving the dispersion

Treasury multiples have firmed as the rate cycle keeps liquidity and yield optimisation in focus, with scaled, independent systems genuinely scarce: General Atlantic's 2024 stake valued Kyriba above $3 billion. AR automation re-rates on the hard return of reduced days sales outstanding and on the AI that automates cash application. At the top of the table, AI-native spend platforms are priced as critical, scalable software rather than as speculative bets. Mature processing moves the other way, with growth slowing and the deposit-margin advantages of recent years fading into commoditisation.

Table 2. Subsegment Valuation Drivers and Principal Risks

Subsegment	Premium Driver	Principal Risk
AI-Native Spend Platforms	Agentic operating leverage, payment economics	Multiple compression if growth slows
Treasury Management (TMS)	Rate-driven demand, tokenised settlement	Long sales cycles, incumbent inertia
AR Automation / Order-to-Cash	Cash-application AI, working-capital ROI	SMB churn, lengthening sales cycles
E-Invoicing & Compliance	Mandated demand, network effects	Mandate timelines slipping, fragmentation
AP Automation (SaaS)	Supplier networks, interchange attach	Public-comp de-rating, commoditisation
Corporate Payments (mature)	Volume scale, cash generation	Take-rate erosion, margin compression

Source: Windsor Drake analysis of McKinsey, company filings and S&P Global Market Intelligence research.

How are treasury, AP and AR companies valued in 2026?

Valuation in 2026 has coalesced around a disciplined framework built on sustainable unit economics, a credible path to profitability, and a measurable AI story. The growth-at-all-costs playbook is gone. In its place is a multi-factor model in which the Rule of 40 is table stakes rather than a distinguishing achievement.

The Rule of 40 mandate

The Rule of 40, where revenue growth plus EBITDA margin reaches at least 40%, is the primary filter for a premium multiple. In 2026, how a company reaches that number matters as much as reaching it: investors reward balance. Top performers command **50% to 100%** premiums over the median, yet only an estimated **10% to 15%** of finance-automation companies clear the bar. Each ten-point gain in the score is now worth close to an additional turn of revenue.

Table 3. Rule of 40 Performance Tiers

Performance Tier	Rule of 40 Score	Avg EV/Revenue	Premium vs Median
Top Quartile	Above 50	8x and above	+50% to +100%
Rule of 40 Met	40 to 50	6x - 8x	Healthy premium
Near Miss	30 to 39	4x - 6x	Modest discount
Bottom Quartile	Below 30	2.5x - 4x	Deep discount

Source: Windsor Drake analysis of McKinsey and Bain & Company software value-creation research.

Unit economics and the payment flow

An LTV/CAC ratio above 3:1 is now the minimum, and the strongest companies target 5:1 or better with customer-acquisition cost recovered inside twelve months. For the leaders, net revenue retention above **120%** has become essential. A second lever is unique to this niche: monetising the payment flow. Platforms that own the money movement, capturing interchange, float and FX on top of SaaS fees, expand revenue per customer at minimal incremental cost, and the market rewards that attach with a higher multiple.

A credible path to profitability

For any asset valued above six times revenue, the market now expects a believable path to EBITDA profitability within 12 to 18 months. The public reset is the cautionary tale: BILL Holdings, the category bellwether, trades near 2.5x sales on roughly $1.55 billion of revenue, far below its 2021 peak, with management pivoting the narrative toward durable profitability. Revenue per employee has become a quick read on AI adoption and underlying efficiency.

What is driving treasury, AP and AR valuations this quarter?

Valuations in Q2 2026 reflect an interplay of expansionary forces and compressive market realities. Reading those drivers correctly is what separates a defensible valuation from a mispriced one. The net effect, in Windsor Drake's analysis, is expansion of roughly a full turn of revenue from the 2024 baseline to the current 6x benchmark.

Table 4. Valuation Drivers, Expansion versus Compression

Factor	Driver	Effect on Multiples	Notable Examples
Expansion	AI integration	Premium for AI-native operations	Agentic AP coding, AI cash application
Expansion	E-invoicing mandates	Mandated, recurring demand	EU ViDA, France 2026, Poland KSeF
Expansion	Treasury demand	Rate-driven value of liquidity tools	TMS, embedded treasury
Compression	Public-comp de-rating	Public AP resets toward 2.5-4.5x	BILL Holdings, AvidXchange
Compression	Financing cost	Higher discount rates on growth assets	Leveraged take-privates
Compression	Competitive pressure	Crowding in payables and expense	Commoditising AP tooling

Source: Windsor Drake analysis of McKinsey, Federal Reserve and company-filing data.

Geographic variation

Location still matters for valuation. North America commands an innovation premium on the strength of deep capital markets and AI leadership. Europe trades close behind, not at a discount but on a structural catalyst: the ViDA e-invoicing mandates (France from September 2026, Germany from 2027 to 2028, Poland's KSeF from 2026) convert compliance into mandatory AP and AR software demand. APAC remains the fastest-growing region, led by real-time payment rails and rapid B2B digitisation.

Table 5. Geographic Valuation Variation

Region	Indicative EV/ Revenue	Posture	Key Drivers
North America	~7x	Premium	Deep capital markets, AI leadership, exit liquidity
Europe	~6x	Mandate-driven	ViDA e-invoicing mandates underwrite structural demand
APAC	~5.5x	Growth	Real-time rails and rapid B2B digitisation
Rest of World	~4.5x	Selective	Efficiency stories against higher political risk

Source: Windsor Drake analysis of McKinsey and European Commission (ViDA) data.

Public and private markets diverge

One of the defining features of the quarter is the divergence between public and private marks in this niche, the opposite of the convergence seen across broad fintech. Public AP and AR software (BILL, the now-private AvidXchange) reset into a 2.5x to 4.5x band, while AI-native private spend leaders re-rated above 20x, with Ramp clearing roughly 30x. Public comparables now cap pricing for private rounds that lack a clear AI story, and those companies are increasingly prime candidates for strategic M&A or a take-private outcome.

Which valuation metric should apply?

Selecting the right metric is what separates a professional valuation from a careless one. Different corners of finance automation demand different lenses, and leaning too hard on a generic EV/Revenue multiple can badly misprice mature processors or payment-heavy businesses.

EV/Revenue: the growth metric

EV/Revenue suits high-growth businesses with recurring revenue that are reinvesting ahead of profitability, including AR automation, treasury management and spend software. The essential adjustment is to separate software revenue from payment revenue: a dollar of high-margin SaaS is not comparable to a dollar of interchange or processing revenue, and the Rule of 40 score dictates where in the range an asset sits.

EV/EBITDA: the profitability metric

EV/EBITDA fits mature, slower-growth businesses where cash flow is the primary value driver, such as scaled corporate-payments processors. Corpay and WEX are valued primarily on EBITDA, in a roughly 10x to 16x band, with margin expansion and volume scale the key drivers. A notable shift in 2026 is that several companies once valued on revenue are now assessed on EBITDA as their growth rates moderate.

The Rule of 40 overlay and the payment-adjusted view

Two adjustments sit on top of the core metric. The Rule of 40 overlay re-rates an asset by close to 2x for top-quartile efficiency, or discounts it for sub-scale performance. The payment-adjusted view separates recurring SaaS from interchange and float, valuing software revenue at a premium and preventing the over- or under-valuation of payment-attached models such as spend and AP platforms.

Table 6. Valuation Methodology Matrix

Subsegment	Primary Metric	Typical 2026 Range	Key Adjustment
AI-Native Spend Platforms	EV/Revenue	18x - 35x revenue	Rule of 40, payment attach
Treasury Management (TMS)	EV/Revenue	7x - 11x revenue	Scarcity, recurring mix
AR Automation / Order-to-Cash	EV/Revenue	6.5x - 10x revenue	Cash-application AI, NRR
AP Automation (SaaS)	EV/Revenue	6x - 9x revenue	Supplier network, gross margin
E-Invoicing & Compliance	EV/Revenue	6x - 9x revenue	Mandated demand, network density
Corporate Payments (mature)	EV/EBITDA	10x - 16x EBITDA	Volume scale, take rate
Public AP Automation	EV/Revenue	2.5x - 4.5x revenue	Growth rate, profitability path

Source: Windsor Drake valuation methodology, calibrated to PitchBook and company-filing comparables.

Key takeaways for founders

Translating the market picture into strategy means concentrating on six areas that consistently move valuation in the current environment.

1. Clear the Rule of 40

Revenue growth plus EBITDA margin must reach at least 40%. No single metric predicts a valuation premium better, and top-quartile performers earn 50% to 100% over the median. Make the score a board-level priority with monthly tracking.

2. Monetise the payment flow

The premium in this niche accrues to platforms that own the money movement, not just the workflow. Attach virtual cards, interchange, float and FX to the processes you already run, and present that payment revenue cleanly so a buyer can underwrite it. It is the clearest path to expanding revenue per customer at low incremental cost.

3. Make the AI case concrete

AI integration is now a measurable driver of value, not a talking point. Present specific use cases, touchless AP coding, AI cash application, agentic close, and quantify the operating leverage with hard return-on-investment numbers. AI-native spend platforms clearing roughly 30x revenue set the benchmark the market now rewards.

4. Choose capital-light, recurring models

The market explicitly rewards software economics and recurring revenue over commoditised processing: AR and treasury software trade at 6.5x to 11x while mature processors sit at 4x to 6x on revenue and trade on EBITDA. Build durable, high-margin SaaS as the core, with payments as the attach.

5. Weigh the geography

North American assets trade at a clear premium, but Europe offers a structural tailwind in the ViDA e-invoicing mandates that turn compliance into mandatory demand. Absent a specific regulatory or scale advantage abroad, dominate the home market first; where mandates create demand, position early to capture it.

6. Prepare for an active buyer market

Banks are being forced to buy capability, sponsors hold aging dry powder, and mandates are pulling demand forward, while public comparables impose pricing discipline. A full process runs 12 to 18 months end to end, so a founder who intends to engage the market while today's alignment of active buyers and mandate-driven demand still holds is, in practice, preparing in the current cycle.

Sources

- McKinsey & Company, The 2025 McKinsey Global Payments Report
- McKinsey & Company, Global Private Markets Report 2026
- Goldman Sachs, 2026 Global M&A Outlook
- Bain & Company, Global Private Equity Report 2026
- Federal Reserve, FOMC statement and minutes (April 2026)
- European Commission, VAT in the Digital Age (ViDA) and e-invoicing mandates
- S&P Global Market Intelligence, private-capital and dry-powder analyses
- PitchBook, Fintech & Payments comparable-company data

- CB Insights, State of Fintech
- EY-Parthenon, M&A Outlook 2026
- AvidXchange, Coupa, Navan and BILL Holdings, SEC filings and company disclosures
- Bloomberg, Ramp funding round and Kyriba valuation reporting